

Effect of hyperbaric oxygen therapy on patients with herpes zoster

Zhengrong Peng, Sue Wang, Xu Huang, Pingtian Xiao

Department of HBO, Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha City, Hunan Province, China

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dr. Zhengrong Peng – pengzr138@yahoo.com.cn

ABSTRACT

Background: The purpose of this study was to observe the effect of hyperbaric oxygen (HBO₂) therapy on patients with herpes zoster.

Methods: A total of 68 cases with herpes zoster were randomly divided into HBO₂ and control groups. The patients in the control group were treated with drugs, while the patients in the HBO₂ group were treated with both drugs and HBO₂. Parameters of therapeutic efficacy including period of blister resolution, scar formation time and percentage of patients developing post-herpetic neuralgia (PHN) were determined for the patients in both groups. Numeric Pain Rating Scale (NPRS) and Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAMD) were also scored for the patients before and after treatment.

Results: The therapeutic efficacy in the control group was 81.25%, which was significantly lower than that (97.22%) in the HBO₂ group ($p<0.05$). The percentage of patients developing PHN, scar formation time and NPRS score in the HBO₂ groups were significantly lower than those in the control group ($p<0.05$). HAMD score in the HBO₂ group was significantly lower than that in the control group ($p<0.05$).

Conclusion: HBO₂ can significantly enhance therapeutic efficacy, relieve pain, accelerate herpes blister healing and lesion resolution, reduce the percentage of patients developing PHN and improve depression in patients with herpes zoster.

INTRODUCTION

Herpes zoster is an infectious disease of ganglia and nerve stems caused by varicella zoster virus [1]. Herpes zoster is characterized by skin flushing, distribution of clustering blisters along a dermatomal nerve distribution, skin burning, extension of pain outside of the skin lesions and persistent neuralgia in some patients after the associated rash has disappeared [2]. Post-herpetic neuralgia (PHN) refers to the persistent pain (one to six months) after the herpetic lesions are healed [3].

Manifesting primarily as persistent irritation, intermittent boring pain, allodynia and itching, PHN is difficult to treat and represents the most common complication of herpes zoster [4]. Typically the trigeminal or intercostal nerves are involved.

Overall, 15% of patients with herpes zoster will develop PHN, with that incidence increasing to 75% in patients over 70 years old [5]. Because of its complex pathogenesis and poor response to treatment, PHN has received extensive attention in the medical literature [6].

Skin burning and excruciating nerve pain in patients with herpes zoster often result in insomnia, irritability,

anxiety and depression [7]. Herpes zoster is one of the more stubborn diseases of the middle-aged and elderly populations. Currently, there is no effective clinical treatment for this disease [8].

Hyperbaric oxygen (HBO₂) therapy treatment has been widely applied in clinics. HBO₂ therapy is a treatment by which patients are placed in a sealed, high-pressure environment while breathing high concentrations of oxygen [9]. However, the therapeutic efficacy of HBO₂ treatment on herpes zoster has not been studied. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of HBO₂ treatment on the duration and severity of herpes zoster signs and symptoms.

Methods

Subjects

A total of 68 cases with herpes zoster were enrolled from January 2008 to December 2010. Prior to enrollment, the disease course of these patients was less than two weeks. The diagnosis was based on the criteria of acute herpes zoster described in the literature [10,11]. Patients who were excluded from this study included

TABLE 1 – Comparison of demographic information

GROUPS	GENDER		DISEASE course (days)	AGE (years)	TYPE		
	male	female			mild	medium	severe
control group	14	18	7.72 ± 6.75	58.47 ± 15.86	10	16	6
HBO ₂ group	20	16	9.39 ± 6.77	55.69 ± 17.51	9	19	8
<i>p</i>	$\chi^2 = 0.944$	$p = 0.331$	$t = -1.017$ $p = 0.313$	$t = 0.682$ $p = 0.498$	$\chi^2 = 0.361$	$p = 0.835$	

women who were pregnant, breast-feeding or attempting to conceive; patients with severe heart, liver, lung and kidney dysfunction or systemic failure; those with autoimmune disease (e.g., systemic lupus erythematosus/SLE) or long-term use of corticosteroids or immunosuppressive agents; patients with malignant tumors; patients with contraindications on HBO₂ treatment; and patients with primary diseases that affect pain and depression.

These 68 cases were randomized using a computer-generated randomization scheme into the control group ($n=32$) and the HBO₂ treatment group ($n=36$). The patients were classified into three different grades of herpetic disease manifestation severity: mild, medium and severe [12,13]. Mild-grade patients had one to several patches (≤ 5 patches) of rash with a medium degree of pain, but without systemic symptoms. Medium-grade patients had more rash (>5 patches), obvious inflammation and pain, but still no systemic symptoms. The rash in severe-grade patients was associated with bleeding, ulcers, dissemination and obvious complications (e.g., paresthesias, nerve palsies, ocular involvement or other appropriate examples) [14]. The severe-grade patients had poor general condition. There was no significant difference between the age, gender, disease course and disease grade in the HBO₂ group and those in the control group ($p > 0.05$) (Table 1, above).

HBO₂ treatment

The patients in the control group were treated with conventional drugs, while the patients in the HBO₂ group were treated with both conventional drugs and HBO₂. Pharmacotherapy included antiviral (acyclovir, 0.5g, intravenous drip, twice a day), nerve nutritive (mecobalamin, 0.5mg, intramuscular injection, once a day), pain relief (tramadol hydrochloride, 50mg, orally, once a day) and antidepressive drugs (nortriptyline, 10mg, orally, three times a day). An air-pressurized chamber (Model:

YCQ34230/0.3/0.7 (-0.1) -50 VIII W; Origin: Yantai, Shandong Province, China) was used for HBO₂ treatment. For each treatment, the chamber was pressurized over a period of 15 minutes to 0.22MPa. Subjects then breathed either 100% oxygen by mask or chamber air according to the following schedule: mask oxygen 30 minutes; chamber air five minutes; mask oxygen 20 minutes; chamber air five minutes; mask oxygen 30 minutes. The chamber was subsequently decompressed to ambient pressure over a period of 15 minutes. The total duration of each treatment was 120 minutes. Each subject received two HBO₂ treatments daily, five days per week, for a total of 30 HBO₂ sessions.

Parameters detected for the patients before and after treatments

NPRS 15 (Numeric Pain Rating Scale: 0~10) and HAMD 16 (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale: 17 item; total score: 28.45±7.16) were scored the day prior to the first treatment and the day after completing the 30th HBO₂ treatment. Patients with a total HAMD score of less than 7 were considered to be reporting no depressive symptoms. Patients with a total HAMD score of 7-17 were considered to have mild depression. Patients with a total HAMD score of 17-24 were considered to have moderate depression. Patients with a total HAMD score of greater than 24 were considered to be suffering from severe depression.

The period of blister resolution (i.e., the time between onset of the disease to the disappearance of the herpes blisters) and scar formation time (i.e., the time between onset of the disease and the formation of scars) were recorded for the patients in both groups. All patients were followed for three to six months after completion of HBO₂ treatment and the number of patients developing PHN recorded. The percentage of subjects with PHN was calculated by the following formula:

$$PHN \text{ percentage} = \frac{\text{number of cases with PHN}}{\text{total case number in each group}} \times 100.$$

TABLE 2 – Comparison of therapeutic efficacy

Groups	Case #	Healed	Improved	Ineffective	Efficacy rate %
control group	32	17	9	6	81.25%
HBO ₂ group	36	22	13	1	97.22%

Evaluation of therapeutic efficacy

Therapeutic efficacy was evaluated on the day after completing the 30th HBO₂ treatment. The evaluation was conducted according to the criteria described previously [12,13]. The criteria for “healed” were complete subsidence of pain and rash with temporary pigmentation. The criteria for “improved” were significant pain relief and rash subsidence. The criteria for “ineffective” included no significant pain relief and no rash subsidence. Therapeutic efficacy was calculated by the following formula:

$$\text{therapeutic efficacy} = (\text{number of cases with healing} + \text{number of cases with improvement}) / \text{total case number in each group} \times 100.$$

Statistical analysis

All the parameters were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (X ± S). Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS11.0 statistical software package and Excel 7.0. Chi square analysis was used for comparison of therapeutic efficacy and PHN percentage between the two groups. Paired student t-test was used for comparison of the period of blister resolution, scar formation time, NPRS score and HAMD score between the two groups. Analysis of variance was used for comparison among multiple groups. *p*<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Comparison of therapeutic efficacy between the two groups

The calculated therapeutic efficacy in the HBO₂ group (97.22%) was significantly higher than that in the control group (81.55%) (*p*<0.05) (Table 2, above).

Comparison of PHN, herpes stopping and scar formation time between the two groups

The percentage of subjects developing PHN in the HBO₂ group was 11.11%, which was significantly lower than that in the control group (31.25%) (*p*<0.05). There was no significant difference between the period of blister

TABLE 3 – Comparison of HN percentage, period of blister resolution and scar formation time

Groups	Case #	PHN case # and %	Period of blister resolution (days)	Scar formation time (days)
control group	32	10 (31.25%)	3.25 ± 1.39	13.94 ± 4.26
HBO ₂ group	36	4 (11.11%)	2.81 ± 1.45	11.08 ± 3.97

resolution in the HBO₂ group and that in the control group (*p*>0.05). The scar formation time in the HBO₂ group was significantly shorter than that in the control group (*p*<0.05) (Table 3, above).

TABLE 4 – Comparison of NPRS scores

Groups	Case #	Before treatment	After treatment	<i>p</i>
control group	32	8.13 ± 1.68	3.53 ± 4.10	<i>p</i> = 0.000
HBO ₂ group	36	7.97 ± 1.80	1.83 ± 2.72	<i>p</i> = 0.000
<i>p</i>		<i>p</i> = 0.719	<i>p</i> = 0.046	

Comparison of NPRS score between the two groups

Before treatment, there was no significant difference of NPRS score between the two groups (*p*>0.05). NPRS score was decreased after treatment in both groups (*p*<0.01). In addition, after treatment, NPRS score in the HBO₂ group was significantly lower than that in the control group (*p*<0.05) (Table 4).

TABLE 5 – Comparison of HAMD scores

Groups	Case #	Before treatment	After treatment	<i>p</i>
control group	32	22.06 ± 13.55	10.94 ± 9.73	<i>p</i> < 0.01
HBO ₂ group	36	19.92 ± 12.77	16.53 ± 12.04	<i>p</i> < 0.05
<i>p</i>		<i>p</i> = 0.504	<i>p</i> = 0.041	

Comparison of HAMD score between the two groups

Before treatment, there was no significant difference of HAMD score between the two groups (*p*>0.05). HAMD score was decreased after treatment in both groups (*p*<0.01). However, after completion of HBO₂ treatment, HAMD score in the HBO₂ group was significantly lower than in the control group (*p*<0.05) (Table 5).

Comparison of adverse reactions and side effects between the two groups

Because of excessive anxiety (*i.e.*, claustrophobia prevented completion of HBO₂ treatment), assessment could not be completed in one patient in the HBO₂ group. Thus, this patient was excluded from analysis. One patient in the control group had allergic reactions (skin rash) and was also excluded. All the other patients finished examinations successfully. The percentage of cases that did not finish the study was not significantly different between the two groups ($p>0.05$). Adverse side effects secondary to HBO₂ treatment (*e.g.*, barotrauma, oxygen toxicity, decompression sickness, pulmonary atelectasis, metabolic disorders and acid-base imbalance) did not occur.

DISCUSSION

Herpes zoster is a disease characterized by the presence of pain and herpetic skin lesions in the areas innervated by nerves infected with herpes virus. Under conditions where the human immune function is suppressed, herpes zoster virus spreads to the skin via sensory nerve fibers, which results in inflammation and necrosis of the involved ganglion and nerve pain [17,18]. Consequently, clusters of blisters are formed on the corresponding skin, and inflammation occurs [19]. Clinical and laboratory studies have confirmed that HBO₂ can improve aerobic metabolism in nerve tissues, inhibit nerve tissue inflammatory edema, alleviate pain in the nerves and accelerate skin wound healing [20,21,22].

In this study, we found that the therapeutic efficacy in the HBO₂ group was significantly higher than in the control group (*Table 2*), indicating that the combination of HBO₂ and conventional drug treatment is more effective than drug treatment alone. We also found that the NPRS score was significantly decreased after treatment in both groups, indicating that both drug treatment and combination of drug and HBO₂ can alleviate pain.

More importantly, after completion of HBO₂ treatment, the NPRS score in the HBO₂ group is significantly lower than that in control group, demonstrating that HBO₂ plays an additional role in easing and alleviating herpetic nerve pain. These results are consistent with previous studies showing that HBO₂ can alleviate chronic constrictive injury (CCI) induced neuropathic pain [23]. Our study also showed that scar formation time in the HBO₂ group was significantly shorter than that in the

control group (*Table 3*), indicating that HBO₂ enhances the alleviation of inflammation, growth of granulation tissue and healing of skin scars [24]. However, the period of blister resolution was not significantly different between the two groups, suggesting that HBO₂ does not play a role in resolution of active herpes infection.

We found in this study that PHN percentage in the HBO₂ group was significantly lower than that in control group, indicating that combination of HBO₂ and drug treatment is more effective in reducing PHN occurrence than drug treatment alone. It also suggests that HBO₂ can inhibit inflammation in nerve tissue, promote healing of nerve injury and reduce the nerve pain occurrence [23].

Skin burning and unbearable nerve pain caused by herpes zoster result in insomnia, irritability, anxiety, long-term psychological burden and depression [25]. We investigated the depression state of patients by determining the HAMD score in both groups. Our results showed that pre-treatment HAMD scores in patients with herpes zoster were significantly increased compared to the score in normal population, demonstrating that PHN induces depression. After completion of 30 HBO₂ treatments, HAMD scores were significantly reduced in both groups. More importantly, HAMD score in the HBO₂ group was significantly lower than that in the control group, indicating that HBO₂ plays an additional role in reversing the associated depression. Our results are consistent with previous reports showing that HBO₂ treatment has an antidepressantlike effect in forced-swimming tests in rats [26].

In summary, herpes zoster virus can invade nerves and cause long-term nerve pain and skin herpes. In this study, relative to management with medications alone, the addition of a course of HBO₂ treatment was associated with improvements in calculated therapeutic efficacy, a reduction in scar formation time, pain scores, severity of associated depression and a decrease in the percentage of subjects developing post-herpetic neuralgia.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Prof. Zhonqi Wu, Prof. Shuangluo Zhu of Xiangya Hospital of Central South University in these design and research approaches.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare. ■

REFERENCES

1. Sampathkumar P, Drage LA, Martin DP. Herpes zoster (shingles) and postherpetic neuralgia. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2009; 84(3): 274-280.
2. Kost RG, Straus SE. Postherpetic neuralgia-pathogenesis, treatment, and prevention. *N Engl J Med* 1996; 335: 32-42.
3. Rowbotham MC, Petersen KL. Zoster-associated pain and neural dysfunction. *Pain* 2001; 93: 1-5.
4. Baron R, Wasner G. Prevention and treatment of postherpetic neuralgia. *Lancet* 2006; 367: 186-188.
5. Johnson RW, Dworkin RH. Treatment of herpes zoster and postherpetic neuralgia. *BMJ* 2003; 326: 748-750.
6. Backonja M, Irving G, Argoff C. Rational multidrug therapy in the treatment of neuropathic pain. *Current Pain and Headache Reports* 2006; 10: 34-38.
7. Volpi A, Gatti A, Serafini G. Clinical and psychosocial correlates of acute pain in herpes zoster. *J Clin Virol* 2007; 39(3): 238-239.
8. Tajima K, Iseki M, Inada E, Miyazaki T. The effects of early nerve blocks for prevention of postherpetic neuralgia and analysis of prognostic factors. *Masui* 2009; 58(2): 153-159.
9. Eskes AM, Ubbink DT, Lubbers MJ, Lucas C, Vermeulen H. HBO₂ therapy: solution for difficult to heal acute wounds? Systematic review. *World J Surg* 2011; 35(3): 535-542.
10. Kofoed K, Rønholt F, Gerstoft J, Sand C. Herpes zoster: clinical manifestation, diagnosis and treatment. *Ugeskr Laeger* 2011; 173(2):114-119.
11. Harpaz R, Ortega-Sanchez IR, Seward JF. Prevention of herpes zoster: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2008; 57(RR-5):1-30; quiz CE2-4.
12. Gross G, Schöfer H, Wassilew S, et al. Herpes zoster guideline of the German Dermatology Society (DDG). *J Clin Virol* 2003; 26(3): 277-289; discussion 291-293.
13. Tian HY, Hu J, Yang JB. Comparison on therapeutic effect between surround needling plus thin cotton moxibustion and western medicine for herpes zoster. *Zhongguo Zhen Jiu* 2011; 31(3):219-222.
14. Volpi A. Severe complications of herpes zoster. *Herpes*, 2007; 2: 35-39.
15. Farrar JT, Young JP Jr, LaMoreaux L, Werth JL, Poole RM. Clinical importance of changes in chronic pain intensity measured on an 11-point numerical pain rating scale. *Pain* 2001; 94: 149-158.
16. Chavda N, Kantharia ND, Jaykaran. Effects of fluoxetine and escitalopram on C-reactive protein in patients of depression. *J Pharmacol Pharmacother* 2011; 2(1): 11-16.
17. Woolf CJ. Generation of acute pain: Central mechanisms. *Br Med Bull* 1991; 47: 523-533.
18. Gracely RH, Lynch S, Bennett GJ. Painful neuropathy: Altered central processing, maintained dynamically by peripheral input. *Pain* 1992; 51: 175-194.
19. Steiner I, Kennedy PG, Pachner AR. The neurotropic herpes viruses: herpes simplex and varicella zoster. *Lancet Neurol* 2007; 6(11): 1015-1028.
20. Eguiluz-Ordoñez R, Sánchez CE, Venegas A, et al. Effects of HBO₂ on peripheral nerves. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2006; 118(2): 350-359.
21. Niu KC, Huang WT, Lin MT, et al. HBO₂ causes both antiinflammation and antipyresis in rabbits. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2009; 606(1-3): 240-245.
22. Wu SC, Marston W, Armstrong DG. Wound care: the role of advanced wound healing technologies. *J Vasc Surg* 2010; 52(3 Suppl): 59S-66S.
23. Li F, Fang L, Huang S, et al. HBO₂ Therapy alleviates chronic constrictive injury-induced neuropathic pain and reduces tumor necrosis factor-alpha production. *Anesth Analg* 2011, 19. [Epub ahead of print].
24. Tetsuichi A, Eiji K, Shohei S, et al. Hyperbaric oxygen induces basic fibroblast growth factor and hepatocyte growth factor expression, and enhances blood perfusion and muscle regeneration in mouse ischemic hind limbs. *Circ J* 2007; 71: 405-411.
25. Brisson M, Johnson RW, Levin MJ, et al. Measuring herpes zoster (HZ) and post-herpetic neuralgia (PHN) associated burden of illness, health care utilization, and costs in Canada: A clinical epidemiological study. *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol* 2006; 17: 381.
26. Sumen-Secgin G, Cimsit M, Ozek M, Eroglu L. Antidepressant-like effect of HBO₂ treatment in forced-swimming test in rats. *Methods Find Exp Clin Pharmacol* 2005; 27(7): 471-474.

